IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4162 OF 2006

The State of Maharashtra : Applicant.

versus

Sohan Indrajeet Bashire : Respondent.

Mr.A.S.Shitole, APP, for the Applicant/State.
Mr.Pritam Runwal for the respondent.

CORAM : D.G.DESHPANDE
SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.
DATED : APRIL 27, 2007.

P.C.

1. This is an application by State for cancellation of bail on the ground that after the bail was granted to respondent/accused, he committed two offences registered at Antop Hill Police Station vide C.R.Nos.125 of 2005 and 12 of 2006. They are under Sections 394, 324 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code, dated 7.5.2005 and 10.01.2006 respectively. We have perused the

case papers of both these C.R.s.

2. On the other hand, the learned advocate for the accused contended that the accused is a cobbler by profession, he has a family to maintain, at present he is staying at Pune and his address was given to the police for verification. The accused is present in court. The advocate for the accused submitted that the accused has failed his affidavit in the department. He has tendered a copy of the said affidavit wherein Pune address of the accused is given. He also states that excepting the attendance in the cases registered against the accused at Bombay, the accused will not come and stay in Bombay and will be available to police at Pune.

3. Looking to the allegations made against the accused in those two C.Rs. and his affidavit, We do not think this is a case for cancellation of bail. However, we find it necessary to impose condition upon the accused for further period in which the bail was granted to him. The accused will have to mark his presence once in a fortnight to the Sahakar Nagar

Police Station, Pune till the appeal is disposed of. If the condition is not fulfilled regularly or punctually, his bail will liable to be cancelled. This criminal application is disposed of.

[D.G.DESHPANDE,J]

[SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J]